

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/419,571 75	10/18/1999	LIN LU HEALY	42133.9USPT	23
J BENJAMIN BAI PHD			EXAMINER	
JENKENS & G 1100 LOUISIA STE 1800			MULCAHY, PETER D	
HOUSTON, TX 770025214			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_/X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	J
Advisory Action	09/419,571	HEALY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tin	ication. A proper renich places the appli	ply to a cation in
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The distribution have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Thate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. .136(a) and the appropriate expressions of the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered t	pecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	• •		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· ,		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or look of the transfer of the tr	b) will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			, ,
10. ☐ Other:		Peter D. Muleahy Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Adv	isory Action	Part of Paper No. 23	



•

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is unclear that Healy is an inventor of 6,433,068. Furthermore, new double patenting issues are raised by the declaration.